COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF GENETIC TESTING FORSTILLBIRTH: BALANCING COST AND DIAGNOSTIC RATE



MetroHealth

Introduction

- The most cost-effective algorithm for genetic testing for stillbirth is unknown
- Addition of whole exome sequencing (WES) complicates cost-benefit analysis
- Objective: to perform cost-effective analysis for various algorithm of genetic testing for stillbirth

Methods

- Literature review for yield rate, cost, failure rate of karyotype, chromosomal microarray (CMA) and WES on stillbirth
- 12 approaches for stillbirth testing algorithms using karyotype, CMA and WES (Table 1)
- Point simulations using 12 algorithms to calculate expected annual total diagnosis, hit-rate, cost/diagnosis and missed diagnosis assuming birth rate of 3,788,235 births/year
- Monte-Carlo simulation for 4 highest hit-rate algorithms without WES and 2 algorithms with WES by letting yield rate, cost, failure rate of karyotype, CMA and WES vary
- One-way ANOVA to compare cost/diagnosis for each algorithm

Results

- The four algorithms with the highest hit-rate without WES are; 1) univers 2) Universal CMA 3) Universal karyotype with reflex CMA 4) Universal k CMA for culture failure only (Table 1)
- Results of Monte-Carlo simulation shown in Figure 1
- Of the highest hit-rate algorithms, universal karyotype with reflex CMA and universal CMA have the lowest median cost/diagnosis at \$7,112.6

Discussion

- From a cost-effectiveness perspective, CMA is likely reasonable to be of karyotype culture failure
- Cost of WES is likely still prohibitive for widespread usage in the evaluat the addition of WES results in 58.9% increase in yield rate to universal ko
- To aid health systems and policy makers, we have created a calculate calculation result using different birth rate and cost of testing http://bit (download and click to open the file to access the calculator)

Manesha Putra, MD^{1,2}, Malavika Kesavan, MD³, David N Hackney, MD, MSCR² ¹MetroHealth Medical Center, ²University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, ³University of Virginia

Universal karyotype for all stillbirths followed by reflex CMA in the case of culture failure ONLY results in the lowest cost/diagnosis among highest hit-rate algorithms



can this QR code for eb access to copy of poster and abstract

sal karyotype AND CMA	\$80,000.00	Figure 1. Results of Monte Carlo simulation		
karyotype with reflex		Ģ		
	\$70,000.00			
for culture failure only	\$60,000.00			
61 and \$9,863.61	\$50,000.00			
	SIE \$40,000.00			
performed in the case	D	x		
	\$30,000.00			
tion of stillbirth though				
aryotype and CMA	\$20,000.00			
tor to show the	\$10,000.00			
t.ly/StillBirthCalc				
	S-			
	 Universal, karyotpe + CMA, reflex WES Universal, karyotpe, reflex CMA for culture failure onl Universal, CMA 			

of cost/diagnosis for high yield rate algorithms



Table 1. Point simulations of genetic testing algorithms

No	Algorithm	Annual Genetic Diagnosis	Hit Rate	Cost/Diagnosis	Annual Missed Genetic Diagnosis
1	Universal, karyotype	1318.30	5.80%	\$4,978.45	1986.4
2	Universal, karyotype, reflex CMA	1706.52	7.51%	\$13,883.17	1598.1
3	Universal, CMA	1886.54	8.30%	\$5,903.61	1418.1
4	Universal, karyotype + CMA	2093.37	9.21%	\$11,821.39	1211.3
5	Anomalous only, karyotype	621.74	2.74%	\$1,488.40	2682.9
6	Anomalous only, karyotype reflex CMA	625.41	2.75%	\$3,525.25	2679.3
7	Anomalous only, CMA	956.67	4.21%	\$2,680.00	2348.0
8	Anomalous only, karyotype + CMA	956.67	4.21%	\$3,623.04	2341.6
9	Universal, karyotype reflex CMA for culture failure only	1702.51	7.49%	\$7,005.68	1602.2
10	Anomalous only, karyotype, reflex CMA for culture failure only	681.97	3.00%	\$2,132.20	2622.7
11	Universal, karyotype + CMA, reflex WES	3304.67	14.54%	\$35,266.33	0.0*
12	Anomalous only, karyotype + CMA, reflex WES	1176.7	5.18%	\$9,159.07	2128.0

*Algorithm 11 is used as the gold standard to calculate missed diagnoses





